

# School of the Art Institute of Chicago

## 2018 Fall

**Course:** HUMANITY3330: Topics In Philosophy  
**Instructor:** John Beverley \*  
**Response Rate:** 12/22 (54.55 %)

1 - What is your overall evaluation of the course?					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
5 (Excellent)	(5)	7	58.33%		4.42
4	(4)	3	25.00%		
3	(3)	2	16.67%		
2	(2)	0	0.00%		
1 (Poor)	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
<b>Response Rate</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
12/22 (54.55%)			4.42	0.79	5.00

2 - Please Explain:	
<b>Response Rate</b>	6/22 (27.27%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• John is an amazing teacher. His class is super fun and you learn a lot.</li> <li>• There was 3 hour of lecturing with no presentations, but that is not necessarily a bad thing. I am not able to focus and listen for such a long period of time especially in topics like philosophy.</li> <li>• very interesting materials</li> <li>• the course was fun and hard but not too hard !</li> <li>• I really enjoyed the class. Easy to participate and keep up with conversation. I did not enjoy the heavy reliance on online quizzes as our only source of a grade.</li> <li>• We covered some interesting topics, but the class was totally different from what I expected, and I would not have taken the course if I knew what and how we would be covering.</li> </ul>	

3 - How challenging, rigorous or rewarding was the course?					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
5 (Very)	(5)	2	18.18%		4.00
4	(4)	7	63.64%		
3	(3)	2	18.18%		
2	(2)	0	0.00%		
1 (Not at all)	(1)	0	0.00%		
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
<b>Response Rate</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
11/22 (50.00%)			4.00	0.63	4.00

4 - Please Explain:	
<b>Response Rate</b>	5/22 (22.73%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The quizzes were challenging but fun to solve. We would then discuss answers in class and voice our opinions.</li> <li>• Course was rather challenging in that students were asked to always be thinking critically. There was very little time to sit back and absorb information passively.</li> <li>• it was cool and not too hard</li> <li>• The course was not challenging, but I feel like I learned a lot.</li> <li>• Quizzes were short to complete but challenging, the reading material was very very dry, long, difficult to get through, and didn't always feel worth reading.</li> </ul>	

5 - How productive were the class discussions or critiques?					
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
5 (Very)	(5)	10	83.33%		4.83
4	(4)	2	16.67%		
3	(3)	0	0.00%		
2	(2)	0	0.00%		
1 (Not at all)	(1)	0	0.00%		
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
<b>Response Rate</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
12/22 (54.55%)			4.83	0.39	5.00

**School of the Art Institute of Chicago**  
**2018 Fall**

**Course:** HUMANITY3330: Topics In Philosophy  
**Instructor:** John Beverley \*  
**Response Rate:** 12/22 (54.55 %)

**6 - Please Explain:**

**Response Rate** 6/22 (27.27%)

- John always create a good environment to discuss the topics we are talking about
- everyone had a new thought and theory that changed the way I was thinking.
- John did a great job pacing discussions to break up lecture times, as well as leading whole class discussions.
- the class was open and able to discuss
- Very productive discussions. Could be quicker at shutting down
- Class discussions were lively and interesting, although some more moderation from the professor would have helped to not make the discussions so heated. Philosophical debate has its limits, especially in a classroom, and I think those limits were breached a number of times.

**7 - What is your overall evaluation of the instructor?**

**John Beverley**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
5 (Excellent)	(5)	9	75.00%		
4	(4)	3	25.00%		
3	(3)	0	0.00%		
2	(2)	0	0.00%		
1 (Poor)	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
<b>Response Rate</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
12/22 (54.55%)			4.75	0.45	5.00

**8 - Please Explain:**

**Response Rate** 5/22 (22.73%)

- John is excellent he has a lot of knowledge and his way of teaching is great.
- very respectful and open to any idea.
- Very knowledgeable and enthusiastic about his field.
- john was helpful and nice
- Genuine, upfront about teaching style, engaging.

**9 - Did the instructor foster a respectful and inclusive classroom environment?**

**John Beverley**

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
5 (Very)	(5)	10	83.33%		
4	(4)	1	8.33%		
3	(3)	1	8.33%		
2	(2)	0	0.00%		
1 (Not at all)	(1)	0	0.00%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
<b>Response Rate</b>			<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>
12/22 (54.55%)			4.75	0.62	5.00

**10 - Please Explain:**

**Response Rate** 4/22 (18.18%)

- very kind and thoughtful yet pushed us to think outside of the box.
- john was open to ideas
- John is very kind and willing to take anyone's ideas into account.
- Potentially hateful comments from classmates were debated, but should not have been given the platform for debate in the first place. A few students in particular often would talk loudly over others (including me) and it was up to the student to either talk even louder or step down. John should have stopped students from interrupting each other.

**School of the Art Institute of Chicago**  
**2018 Fall**

**Course:** HUMANITY3330: Topics In Philosophy  
**Instructor:** John Beverley \*  
**Response Rate:** 12/22 (54.55%)

11 - Instructor Assessment						
Was the overall structure of the course effective?						
John Beverley						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
5 (yes)	(5)	9	75.00%		4.67	
4	(4)	2	16.67%			
3	(3)	1	8.33%			
2	(2)	0	0.00%			
1 (no)	(1)	0	0.00%			
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
12/22 (54.55%)				4.67	0.65	5.00

11 - Instructor Assessment						
Were the course materials well organized?						
John Beverley						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
5 (yes)	(5)	10	83.33%		4.58	
4	(4)	1	8.33%			
3	(3)	0	0.00%			
2	(2)	0	0.00%			
1 (no)	(1)	1	8.33%			
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
12/22 (54.55%)				4.58	1.16	5.00

11 - Instructor Assessment						
Were the comments on assignments valuable?						
John Beverley						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
5 (yes)	(5)	7	58.33%		4.78	
4	(4)	2	16.67%			
3	(3)	0	0.00%			
2	(2)	0	0.00%			
1 (no)	(1)	0	0.00%			
N/A	(0)	3	25.00%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
12/22 (54.55%)				4.78	0.44	5.00

**School of the Art Institute of Chicago**  
**2018 Fall**

**Course:** HUMANITY3330: Topics In Philosophy  
**Instructor:** John Beverley \*  
**Response Rate:** 12/22 (54.55%)

11 - Instructor Assessment						
Were assignments returned promptly?						
John Beverley						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
5 (yes)	(5)	8	66.67%			
4	(4)	1	8.33%			
3	(3)	0	0.00%			
2	(2)	0	0.00%			
1 (no)	(1)	0	0.00%			
N/A	(0)	3	25.00%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
12/22 (54.55%)				4.89	0.33	5.00




11 - Instructor Assessment						
Was the instructor on time?						
John Beverley						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
5 (yes)	(5)	12	100.00%			
4	(4)	0	0.00%			
3	(3)	0	0.00%			
2	(2)	0	0.00%			
1 (no)	(1)	0	0.00%			
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
12/22 (54.55%)				5.00	0.00	5.00



11 - Instructor Assessment						
Was the instructor accessible?						
John Beverley						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
5 (yes)	(5)	11	91.67%			
4	(4)	1	8.33%			
3	(3)	0	0.00%			
2	(2)	0	0.00%			
1 (no)	(1)	0	0.00%			
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
12/22 (54.55%)				4.92	0.29	5.00



12 - Do you have additional comments on the instructor?	
John Beverley	
Response Rate	4/22 (18.18%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• yay</li> <li>• I know it's more difficult because you teach at multiple schools, but canvas is fairly engrained in SAIC now and is the norm. It was a bit more difficult to understand the material when I couldn't be as</li> <li>• John was upfront that he intends to guide the discussions in certain directions, but because of this, he would sometimes immediately dismiss a student's comment for the sake of continuing in the planned direction</li> <li>• Very passionate about the subject of Bio-ethics and is very good at breaking down abstract logical and philosophical concepts.</li> </ul>	




**School of the Art Institute of Chicago**  
**2018 Fall**

**Course:** HUMANITY3330: Topics In Philosophy  
**Instructor:** John Beverley \*  
**Response Rate:** 12/22 (54.55%)

13 - Student Assessment									
I attended class regularly									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
5 (yes)	(5)	9	75.00%						
4	(4)	3	25.00%						
3	(3)	0	0.00%						
2	(2)	0	0.00%						
1 (no)	(1)	0	0.00%						
				0	25	50	100	Question	
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>		<b>Median</b>	
12/22 (54.55%)				4.75		0.45		5.00	

13 - Student Assessment									
I came to class on time									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
5 (yes)	(5)	12	100.00%						
4	(4)	0	0.00%						
3	(3)	0	0.00%						
2	(2)	0	0.00%						
1 (no)	(1)	0	0.00%						
				0	25	50	100	Question	
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>		<b>Median</b>	
12/22 (54.55%)				5.00		0.00		5.00	

13 - Student Assessment									
I came to class prepared									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
5 (yes)	(5)	12	100.00%						
4	(4)	0	0.00%						
3	(3)	0	0.00%						
2	(2)	0	0.00%						
1 (no)	(1)	0	0.00%						
				0	25	50	100	Question	
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>		<b>Median</b>	
12/22 (54.55%)				5.00		0.00		5.00	

13 - Student Assessment									
I participated in class discussions / critiques									
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
5 (yes)	(5)	9	75.00%						
4	(4)	3	25.00%						
3	(3)	0	0.00%						
2	(2)	0	0.00%						
1 (no)	(1)	0	0.00%						
				0	25	50	100	Question	
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>		<b>STD</b>		<b>Median</b>	
12/22 (54.55%)				4.75		0.45		5.00	

School of the Art Institute of Chicago  
2018 Fall

---

**Course:** HUMANITY3330: Topics In Philosophy  
**Instructor:** John Beverley \*  
**Response Rate:** 12/22 (54.55 %)

---

**14 - Do you have additional comments on your participation?**

Response Rate	3/22 (13.64%)
---------------	---------------

- no
- Thank you! I really did enjoy your course and how you taught the material!
- You are required to participate, but the class discussions are easy to take part in.

**15 - How much money did you spend on course materials, books, and/or supplies for this class?**

Response Rate	10/22 (45.45%)
---------------	----------------

- 0
- \$0
- 0
- \$0
- non
- 0
- None
- 0
- None.
- \$0

**16 - Is there anything else that you would like to add?**

Response Rate	5/22 (22.73%)
---------------	---------------

- John is great
- no
- A large part of the class is online (multiple choice quizzes, etc.).
- Not particular to the class or the instructor, but during class a student (loudly) said he enjoys illustrated child pornography. I don't know the student's name, but I believe John does, and I would have reported the student to the administration if I had known his name.
- Recommended to have some experience in logical reasoning.